LO6 Potential and Congestion Games

CS 295 Introduction to Algorithmic Game Theory Ioannis Panageas

Definition (Potential Games). *A normal form game is specified by*

• set of *n* players
$$[n] = \{1, ..., n\}$$

- For each player *i* a set of strategies/actions S_i and a utility $u_i : \times_{j=1}^n S_j \to \mathbb{R}$ denoting the payoff of *i*.
- set of strategy profiles $S = S_1 \times ... \times S_n$.
- There exists a potential function $\Phi: S \to \mathbb{R}$ so that for all agents *i* and s_i, s'_i

$$\Phi(s_i, s_{-i}) - \Phi(s'_i, s_{-i}) = u_i(s_i, s_{-i}) - u_i(s'_i, s_{-i}).$$

Definition (Potential Games). *A normal form game is specified by*

• set of *n* players
$$[n] = \{1, ..., n\}$$

- For each player *i* a set of strategies/actions S_i and a utility $u_i : \times_{j=1}^n S_j \to \mathbb{R}$ denoting the payoff of *i*.
- set of strategy profiles $S = S_1 \times ... \times S_n$.
- There exists a potential function $\Phi: S \to \mathbb{R}$ so that for all agents *i* and s_i, s'_i

$$\Phi(s_i, s_{-i}) - \Phi(s'_i, s_{-i}) = u_i(s_i, s_{-i}) - u_i(s'_i, s_{-i}).$$

Example (Battle of sexes).

5, 2	-1,-2	<u> </u>	4	0
-5, -4	1,4	\rightarrow	-6	2

Definition (Potential Games). *A normal form game is specified by*

- *set of n pla*Weighted Potential Games:
- For each $p_{u_i}: \times_{j=1}^n \{ \Phi(s_i, s_{-i}) \Phi(s'_i, s_{-i}) = w_i \cdot (u_i(s_i, s_{-i}) u_i(s'_i, s_{-i})) \}$, where $w_i > 0$.
- set of strat
- There exists a potential function $\Phi: S \to \mathbb{R}$ so that for all agents *i* and s_i, s'_i

$$\Phi(s_i, s_{-i}) - \Phi(s'_i, s_{-i}) = u_i(s_i, s_{-i}) - u_i(s'_i, s_{-i}).$$

Example (Battle of sexes).

5, 2	-1,-2	<u> </u>	4	0
-5, -4	1,4		-6	2

Intro to AGT

Question: What is interesting about these games?

Answer: A pure Nash equilibrium always exists!

Lemma. Let G be a potential game. It has a pure Nash equilibrium.

Question: What is interesting about these games?

Answer: A pure Nash equilibrium always exists!

Lemma. *Let G be a potential game. It has a pure Nash equilibrium.*

Proof. Let s^* a pure strategy profile that maximizes Φ . Then s^* is a Nash equilibrium.

Question: What is interesting about these games?

Answer: A pure Nash equilibrium always exists!

Lemma. *Let G be a potential game. It has a pure Nash equilibrium.*

Proof. Let s^* a pure strategy profile that maximizes Φ . Then s^* is a Nash equilibrium. Assuming not, there is an agent i and strategy s'_i so that

$$u_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}^*) < u_i(s_i', s_{-i}^*).$$

Question: What is interesting about these games?

Answer: A pure Nash equilibrium always exists!

Lemma. *Let G be a potential game. It has a pure Nash equilibrium.*

Proof. Let s^* a pure strategy profile that maximizes Φ . Then s^* is a Nash equilibrium. Assuming not, there is an agent i and strategy s'_i so that

$$u_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}^*) < u_i(s_i', s_{-i}^*).$$

$$\Phi(s_i^*, s_{-i}^*) - \Phi(s_i', s_{-i}^*) = u_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}^*) - u_i(s_i', s_{-i}^*) < 0.$$

Contradiction!

Algorithm (Greedy).

- 1. Initialize $s^{(0)}$ arbitrarily.
- 2. **Loop**
- Find agent i, s'_i so that $u_i(s'_i, s^{(t)}_{-i}) > u_i(s^{(t)})$ 3.
- 4. Set $s^{(t+1)} = (s'_i, s^{(t+1)}_{-i}).$ 5. t = t+1
- If no agent exists **STOP** 6.

Lemma. The algorithm above reaches a pure Nash equilibrium.

Algorithm (Greedy).

- 1. Initialize $s^{(0)}$ arbitrarily.
- 2. **Loop**
- **Find** agent i, s'_i so that $u_i(s'_i, s^{(t)}_{-i}) > u_i(s^{(t)})$ 3.
- 4. Set $s^{(t+1)} = (s'_i, s^{(t+1)}_{-i}).$ 5. t = t + 1
- If no agent exists **STOP**

Lemma. The algorithm above reaches a pure Nash equilibrium.

Proof. Construct a directed graph with |S| vertices and an edge from $s \to s'$ if strategy profiles s, s' differ in one agent only, say i and $u_i(s') > u_i(s)$.

• The graph has no cycles.

Algorithm (Greedy).

- 1. Initialize $s^{(0)}$ arbitrarily.
- 2. **Loop**
- **Find** agent i, s'_i so that $u_i(s'_i, s^{(t)}_{-i}) > u_i(s^{(t)})$ 3.
- 4. Set $s^{(t+1)} = (s'_i, s^{(t+1)}_{-i}).$ 5. t = t + 1
- If no agent exists **STOP**

Lemma. The algorithm above reaches a pure Nash equilibrium.

Proof. Construct a directed graph with |S| vertices and an edge from $s \to s'$ if strategy profiles s, s' differ in one agent only, say i and $u_i(s') > u_i(s)$.

- The graph has no cycles.
- The algorithm reaches a sink vertex (no outgoing edges).

Congestion Games

- A congestion game is defined by:
- *n* set of players.
- E set of edges/facilities/ bins.
- $S_i \subset 2^E$ the set of strategies of player *i*.
- $c_e: \{1, ..., n\} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ cost function of edge e.

For any $s = (s_1, ..., s_n)$

- $l_e(s)$ number of players (load) that use edge e.
- $c_i(s) = \sum_{e \in s_i} c_e(l_e)$ the cost function of player *i*.

Congestion Games



For this game:

 $n = \{1, 2\}$ (red, green) E are the edges of the network. S_i is all s - t paths. c_e on edges.

Remark: Defined by Rosenthal in 1973. Capture atomic routing games!

Theorem (Rosenthal 73'). *Congestion Games are potential games.*

Proof. We need to come up with a potential function!

Theorem (Rosenthal 73'). *Congestion Games are potential games.*

Proof. We need to come up with a potential function!

Consider the function $\Phi(s) = \sum_{e \in E} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s)} c_e(j)$.

Theorem (Rosenthal 73'). *Congestion Games are potential games.*

Proof. We need to come up with a potential function!

Consider the function $\Phi(s) = \sum_{e \in E} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s)} c_e(j)$. Fix agent *i* strategy *s*, *s'*, where *s*, *s'* differ on agent's *i* strategy.

•
$$\Phi(s) = \sum_{e \in s \cap s'} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s)} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s)} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s)} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s'} \sum_{j=1$$

•
$$\Phi(s') = \sum_{e \in s \cap s'} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s')} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s')} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s')} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s')} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s \cap s'} \sum_{$$

Missing terms

$$+\sum_{e \notin s, s'} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s)} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \notin s, s'} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s')} c_e(j)$$

Theorem (Rosenthal 73'). *Congestion Games are potential games.*

Proof. We need to come up with a potential function!

Consider the function $\Phi(s) = \sum_{e \in E} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s)} c_e(j)$. Fix agent *i* strategy s, s', where s, s' differ on agent's *i* strategy.

•
$$\Phi(s) = \sum_{e \in s \cap s'} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s)} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} \sum_{j=1}^{e(s)} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s)} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s')} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s')} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} \sum_{j=1}^{$$

Same load $l_e(s) = l_e(s') + 1$ $l_e(s) = l_e(s') - 1$

Missing terms (same load)

$$+\sum_{e\notin s,s'}\sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s)}c_e(j)$$

$$+\sum_{e \notin s, s'} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s')} c_e(j)$$

Theorem (Rosenthal 73'). *Congestion Games are potential games.*

$$\Phi(s) - \Phi(s') = \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} c_e(l_e(s)) - \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} c_e(l_e(s'))$$

•
$$\Phi(s) = \sum_{e \in s \cap s'} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s)} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} \sum_{j=1}^{e(s)} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s)} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s')} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s')} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s')} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s')} c_e(j) + \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} \sum_{j=1}^{$$

Same load Missing terms (same load)

$$+\sum_{e\notin s,s'}\sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s)}c_e(j)$$

$$+\sum_{e\notin s,s'}\sum_{j=1}^{l_e(s')}c_e(j)$$

 $l_e(s) = l_e(s') + 1$ $l_e(s) = l_e(s') - 1$

Proof cont.

$$\Phi(s) - \Phi(s') = \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} c_e(l_e(s)) - \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} c_e(l_e(s'))$$

•
$$u_i(s) = \sum_{e \in s \cap s'} c_e(l_e(s)) + \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} c_e(l_e(s)).$$

• $u_i(s') = \sum_{e \in s \cap s'} c_e(l_e(s')) + \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} c_e(l_e(s')).$

Same load

Proof cont.

$$\Phi(s) - \Phi(s') = \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} c_e(l_e(s)) - \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} c_e(l_e(s'))$$

•
$$u_i(s) = \sum_{e \in s \cap s'} c_e(l_e(s)) + \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} c_e(l_e(s)).$$

• $u_i(s') = \sum_{e \in s \cap s'} c_e(l_e(s')) + \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} c_e(l_e(s')).$
Same load

$$\Rightarrow u_i(s) - u_i(s') = \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} c_e(l_e(s)) - \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} c_e(l_e(s'))$$

We conclude that
$$\Phi(s) - \Phi(s') = u_i(s) - u_i(s')$$
.

Proof cont.

$$\Phi(s) - \Phi(s') = \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} c_e(l_e(s)) - \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} c_e(l_e(s'))$$

•
$$u_i(s) = \sum_{e \in s \cap s'} c_e(l_e(s)) + \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} c_e(l_e(s)).$$

• $u_i(s') = \sum_{e \in s \cap s'} c_e(l_e(s')) + \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} c_e(l_e(s')).$

Same load

$$\Rightarrow u_i(s) - u_i(s') = \sum_{e \in s \setminus s'} c_e(l_e(s)) - \sum_{e \in s' \setminus s} c_e(l_e(s'))$$

We conclude that
$$\Phi(s) - \Phi(s') = u_i(s) - u_i(s')$$
.

Remark: Monderer and Shapley showed that potential games can be reduced to congestion games!

An Algorithm for symmetric network congestion games

Assumption: All players have the same endpoints S and T (and thus they all have the same set of paths/strategies).

Basic idea: Min-cost flow reduction

An Algorithm for symmetric network congestion games

Assumption: All players have the same endpoints S and T (and thus they all have the same set of paths/strategies).

Basic idea: Min-cost flow reduction

Definition (Min-cost flow). *Given a graph* G(V, E)*, a source s and a sink t we would like to send flow d from s to t.*

• Each edge (u, v) has capacity c(u, v) and cost per flow unit a(u, v).

$$\min\sum_{e:(u,v)}f(u,v)\cdot a(u,v)$$

s.t
$$f(u,v) \le c(u,v)$$
 for all edges (u,v) capacity cosntraints
 $f(u,v) = -f(v,u)$ for all edges (u,v)
 $\sum_{w} f(u,w) = 0 \quad \forall u \ne s, t$ flow conservation
 $\sum_{w} f(s,w) = d$ and $\sum_{w} f(w,t) = d$
Intro to AGT

An Algorithm for symmetric network congestion games

Assumption: All players have the same endpoints S and T (and thus they all have the same set of paths/strategies).

Basic idea: Min-cost flow reduction



An Algorithm for symmetric network congestion games; the reduction

Initial graph in the Congestion Game.



An Algorithm for symmetric network congestion games; the reduction

Initial graph in the Congestion Game.



Create another graph with same vertices and for each edge e := (u, v)add *n* parallel edges of capacity one and costs in increasing order $c_e(1), \dots, c_e(n)$



An Algorithm for symmetric network congestion games; the reduction

Initial graph in the Congestion Game.



The min-cost flow minimizes the potential Φ ! HW2

Create another graph with same vertices and for each edge e := (u, v)add *n* parallel edges of capacity one and costs in increasing order $c_e(1), \dots, c_e(n)$

