L04 Online Learning and a proof of Minimax Theorem

CS 295 Introduction to Algorithmic Game Theory Ioannis Panageas

Definition. For each day t = 1...T, you have to choose between alternatives A, B (e.g., rain or not rain).

- Choose A or B according to some rule.
- One of the alternatives realizes.
- If you choose correctly you are not penalized otherwise you lose one point.
- *Imagine that there are n* experts who on each day t, recommend either A or B.

Definition. For each day t = 1...T, you have to choose between alternatives A, B (e.g., rain or not rain).

- Choose A or B according to some rule.
- *One of the alternatives realizes.*
- If you choose correctly you are not penalized otherwise you lose one point.
- *Imagine that there are n experts who on each day t, recommend either A or B.*

Can you be correct all the time? What is the "right" objective?

Definition. For each day t = 1...T, you have to choose between alternatives A, B (e.g., rain or not rain).

- Choose A or B according to some rule.
- *One of the alternatives realizes.*
- If you choose correctly you are not penalized otherwise you lose one point.
- *Imagine that there are n* experts who on each day t, recommend either A or B.

Can you be correct all the time? What is the "right" objective?

Perform close to best expert!

Algorithm (Weighted Majority). We define the following algorithm:

- 1. Initialize $w_i^0 = 1$ for all $i \in [n]$.
- 2. **For** t=1 ... T **do**
- 3. If $\sum_{i \text{ choose } A} w_i^{t-1} \ge \sum_{i \text{ choose } B} w_i^{t-1}$
- 4. Choose A, otherwise B.
- 5. End If
- 6. For expert i that made a mistake do
- 7. $w_i^t = (1 \epsilon)w_i^{t-1}$.
- 8. End For
- 9. For expert i that did not make a mistake do
- 10. $w_i^t = w_i^{t-1}$.
- 11. End For
- 12. End For

Remarks:

- e is the stepsize (to be chosen later).
- Performs almost as good as "best" expert (fewest mistakes)

Theorem (Weighted Majority). Let M_T , M_T^B be the total number of mistakes the algorithm and best expert make until step T, respectively. It holds that

$$M_T \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon}(1+\epsilon)M_T^B + \frac{\log n}{\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Let's define the potential function $\phi_t = \sum_i w_i^t$.

Theorem (Weighted Majority). Let M_T , M_T^B be the total number of mistakes the algorithm and best expert make until step T, respectively. It holds that

$$M_T \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon}(1+\epsilon)M_T^B + \frac{\log n}{\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Let's define the potential function $\phi_t = \sum_i w_i^t$.

- $\bullet \ \phi_0 = n.$
- $\phi_{t+1} \leq \phi_t$ (why?).

Theorem (Weighted Majority). Let M_T , M_T^B be the total number of mistakes the algorithm and best expert make until step T, respectively. It holds that

$$M_T \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon}(1+\epsilon)M_T^B + \frac{\log n}{\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Let's define the potential function $\phi_t = \sum_i w_i^t$.

- $\bullet \ \phi_0 = n.$
- $\phi_{t+1} \leq \phi_t$ (why?).

Observe that if we make a mistake at time t then the majority was wrong, that is at least $\frac{\phi_t}{2}$ will be multiplied by $(1 - \epsilon)$.

Hence, if we make a mistake then $\phi_{t+1} \leq (1-\epsilon)\frac{\phi_t}{2} + \frac{\phi_t}{2} = (1-\frac{\epsilon}{2})\phi_t$

Theorem (Weighted Majority). Let M_T , M_T^B be the total number of mistakes the algorithm and best expert make until step T, respectively. It holds that

$$M_T \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon}(1+\epsilon)M_T^B + \frac{\log n}{\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Let's That is $\phi_{t+1} \leq (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2})\phi_t$ when we do a mistake, otherwise just $\phi_{t+1} \leq \phi_t$. Since we have M_T mistakes, then $\phi_t = \phi_t$

$$\phi_T \leq \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{M_T} \phi_1.$$

Observe that

is at least $\frac{\phi_t}{2}$ will be multiplied by $(1-\epsilon)$.

Hence, if we make a mistake then $\phi_{t+1} \leq (1-\epsilon)\frac{\phi_t}{2} + \frac{\phi_t}{2} = (1-\frac{\epsilon}{2})\phi_t$

Proof cont. Moreover, assuming the best expert (say i^*) did M_T^B mistakes, we have

$$\phi_T > w_{i^*}^T = (1 - \epsilon)^{M_T^B}.$$

Proof cont. Moreover, assuming the best expert (say i^*) did M_T^B mistakes, we have

$$\phi_T > w_{i^*}^T = (1 - \epsilon)^{M_T^B}.$$

We conclude that

$$(1-\epsilon)^{M_T^B} < \left(1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{M_T} n.$$

By taking the log, $M_T^B \log(1 - \epsilon) < \log(1 - \epsilon/2)M_T + \log n$.

Proof cont. Moreover, assuming the best expert (say i^*) did M_T^B mistakes, we have

$$\phi_T > w_{i^*}^T = (1 - \epsilon)^{M_T^B}.$$

We conclude that

$$(1 - \epsilon)^{M_T^B} < \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{M_T} n.$$

By taking the log, $M_T^B \log(1 - \epsilon) < \log(1 - \epsilon/2)M_T + \log n$.

Since
$$-x - x^2 < \log(1 - x) < -x$$
, $M_T^B(-\epsilon - \epsilon^2) < -M_T\epsilon/2 + \log n$.

The general setting

Definition. At each time step t = 1...T.

- *Player chooses* $x_t \in \Delta_n$.
- *Adversary* chooses $u_t \in [-1,1]^n$.
- *Player gets payoff* $x_t^{\top} u_t$ and observes u_t .

The general setting

Definition. At each time step t = 1...T.

- *Player chooses* $x_t \in \Delta_n$.
- *Adversary* chooses $u_t \in [-1,1]^n$.
- *Player* gets payoff $x_t^{\top} u_t$ and observes u_t .

Player's goal is to minimize the (time average) Regret, that is:

$$\frac{1}{T} \left[\max_{x \in \Delta_n} \sum_{t=1}^T x^\top u_t - \sum_{t=1}^T x_t^\top u_t \right].$$

$$= \frac{1}{T} \left[\max_{i^* \in [n]} \sum_{t=1}^T x^\top u_{t,i} - \sum_{t=1}^T x_t^\top u_t \right].$$

If Regret $\rightarrow 0$ as T $\rightarrow \infty$, the algorithm is called no-regret.

Algorithm (MWU). We define the following algorithm:

- 1. Initialize $p_i^0 = \frac{1}{n}$ for all $i \in [n]$.
- 2. For $t=1 \dots T do$
- 3. For each i that gives payoff $u_{t,i}$ do
- 4. $p_i^{t+1} = p_i^t \frac{1 + \epsilon u_{t,i}}{Z^t}$.
- 5. End For
- 6. End For

Remarks:

- \(\epsilon \) is the stepsize (to be chosen later).
- Performs almost as good as "best" expert (fewest mistakes).
- The algorithm is also called Multiplicative Weights Update!
- $Z^t = \sum_i p_i^t (1 + \varepsilon u_{t,i})$ is renormalization constant.

Theorem (MWU). *It holds that*

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} u_{t}^{\top} p^{t} \ge \max_{x} \sum_{t} x^{\top} u_{t} - \frac{\log n}{\epsilon T} - \epsilon.$$

Proof. Let's define the potential function $\phi_t = \sum_i w_i^t$ where $w_i^t = \prod_{s=0}^t (1 + \epsilon u_{s,i})$.

Theorem (MWU). *It holds that*

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} u_{t}^{\top} p^{t} \ge \max_{x} \sum_{t} x^{\top} u_{t} - \frac{\log n}{\epsilon T} - \epsilon.$$

Proof. Let's define the potential function $\phi_t = \sum_i w_i^t$ where $w_i^t = \prod_{s=0}^t (1 + \epsilon u_{s,i})$.

Let the best strategy be i^* , we have

$$\phi_T > w_{i^*}^T \ge e^{\epsilon \sum_{s=0}^T u_{s,i^*} - \epsilon^2 \sum_{s=0}^T u_{s,i^*}^2}$$
.

Now
$$\phi_{t+1} = \sum w_i^{t+1} = \sum w_i^t (1 + \epsilon u_{t,i})$$

Theorem (MWU). *It holds that*

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} u_{t}^{\top} p^{t} \ge \max_{x} \sum_{t} x^{\top} u_{t} - \frac{\log n}{\epsilon T} - \epsilon.$$

Proof. Let's define the potential function $\phi_t = \sum_i w_i^t$ where $w_i^t = \prod_{s=0}^t (1 + \epsilon u_{s,i})$.

Let the best strategy be i^* , we have

$$\phi_T > w_{i^*}^T \ge e^{\epsilon \sum_{s=0}^T u_{s,i^*} - \epsilon^2 \sum_{s=0}^T u_{s,i^*}^2}.$$
Now $\phi_{t+1} = \sum w_i^{t+1} = \sum w_i^t (1 + \epsilon u_{t,i})$

$$= \sum \phi_t p_i^t (1 + \epsilon u_{t,i})$$

Theorem (MWU). *It holds that*

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} u_{t}^{\top} p^{t} \ge \max_{x} \sum_{t} x^{\top} u_{t} - \frac{\log n}{\epsilon T} - \epsilon.$$

Proof. Let's define the potential function $\phi_t = \sum_i w_i^t$ where $w_i^t = \prod_{s=0}^t (1 + \epsilon u_{s,i})$.

Let the best strategy be i^* , we have

$$\phi_{T} > w_{i^{*}}^{T} \ge e^{\epsilon \sum_{s=0}^{T} u_{s,i^{*}} - \epsilon^{2} \sum_{s=0}^{T} u_{s,i^{*}}^{2}}.$$

$$\text{Now } \phi_{t+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{T} w_{i}^{t+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{T} w_{i}^{t} (1 + \epsilon u_{t,i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{T} \phi_{t} p_{i}^{t} (1 + \epsilon u_{t,i})$$

$$= \phi_{t} \sum_{i=0}^{T} p_{i}^{t} (1 + \epsilon u_{t,i})$$

Proof cont. Therefore

$$\phi_{t+1} = \phi_t \left(1 + \epsilon \sum_i p_i^t u_{i,t} \right)$$

Proof cont. Therefore

$$\phi_{t+1} = \phi_t \left(1 + \epsilon \sum_i p_i^t u_{i,t} \right)$$

$$\leq \phi_t e^{\epsilon \sum_i p_i^t u_{i,t}} = \phi_t e^{\epsilon u_t^\top p^t}$$

Proof cont. Therefore

$$\phi_{t+1} = \phi_t \left(1 + \epsilon \sum_i p_i^t u_{i,t} \right)$$

$$\leq \phi_t e^{\epsilon \sum_i p_i^t u_{i,t}} = \phi_t e^{\epsilon u_t^\top p^t}$$

Telescopic product gives

$$\phi_T \le \phi_0 e^{\epsilon \sum_t u_t^\top p^t} = n e^{\epsilon \sum_t u_t^\top p^t}.$$

Proof cont. Therefore

$$\phi_{t+1} = \phi_t \left(1 + \epsilon \sum_i p_i^t u_{i,t} \right)$$

$$\leq \phi_t e^{\epsilon \sum_i p_i^t u_{i,t}} = \phi_t e^{\epsilon u_t^\top p^t}$$

Telescopic product gives

$$\phi_T \le \phi_0 e^{\epsilon \sum_t u_t^\top p^t} = n e^{\epsilon \sum_t u_t^\top p^t}.$$

Therefore
$$e^{\epsilon \sum_{s=0}^{T} u_{s,i^*} - \epsilon^2 \sum_{s=0}^{T} u_{s,i^*}^2} \leq n e^{\epsilon \sum_{t} u_t^{\top} p^t}$$
, or equivalently
$$\epsilon OPT - \epsilon^2 T \leq \epsilon OPT - \epsilon^2 \sum_{s=0}^{T} u_{s,i^*}^2 \leq \log n + \epsilon \sum_{t} u_t^{\top} p^t.$$

Intro to AGT

Proof cont. Therefore

Set
$$\varepsilon o \sqrt{\frac{\ln n}{T}}$$
 and we get regret
$$2\,\sqrt{\frac{\ln n}{T}}\, \text{(No-regret!)}$$

Telescopic product gives

$$\phi_T \le \phi_0 e^{\epsilon \sum_t u_t^\top p^t} = n e^{\epsilon \sum_t u_t^\top p^t}.$$

Therefore
$$e^{\epsilon \sum_{s=0}^{T} u_{s,i^*} - \epsilon^2 \sum_{s=0}^{T} u_{s,i^*}^2} \leq n e^{\epsilon \sum_{t} u_t^{\top} p^t}$$
, or equivalently
$$\epsilon OPT - \epsilon^2 T \leq \epsilon OPT - \epsilon^2 \sum_{s=0}^{T} u_{s,i^*}^2 \leq \log n + \epsilon \sum_{t} u_t^{\top} p^t.$$

Intro to AGT

Theorem (Minimax by John von Neumann). Let A a matrix of size $n \times m$.

$$\min_{x \in \Delta_n} \max_{y \in \Delta_m} x^\top A y = \max_{y \in \Delta_m} \min_{x \in \Delta_n} x^\top A y$$

Remarks

- The above is the value of the game.
- Note that It is always true (min-max inequality):

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f(x, y) \ge \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x, y)$$

Theorem (Minimax by John von Neumann). Let A a matrix of size $n \times m$.

$$\min_{x \in \Delta_n} \max_{y \in \Delta_m} x^\top A y = \max_{y \in \Delta_m} \min_{x \in \Delta_n} x^\top A y$$

Remarks

- The above is the value of the game.
- Note that It is always true (min-max inequality):

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f(x,y) \geq \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} f(z,w).$$
 $\exists \lim_{z \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} f(z,y) \leq \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} f(z,w)$
 $\Rightarrow \lim_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} g(z) \leq \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} f(z,w)$
 $\Rightarrow \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} f(z,w) \leq \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} f(z,w)$
 $\Rightarrow \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} f(z,w) \leq \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} f(z,w)$

Theorem (Minimax by John von Neumann). Let A a matrix of size $n \times m$.

$$\min_{x \in \Delta_n} \max_{y \in \Delta_m} x^\top A y = \max_{y \in \Delta_m} \min_{x \in \Delta_n} x^\top A y$$

Proof. Let's use no-regret learning for both "players"!

Theorem (Minimax by John von Neumann). Let A a matrix of size $n \times m$.

$$\min_{x \in \Delta_n} \max_{y \in \Delta_m} x^\top A y = \max_{y \in \Delta_m} \min_{x \in \Delta_n} x^\top A y$$

Proof. Let's use no-regret learning for both "players"!

Let $x_1, ..., x_T$ and $y_1, ..., y_T$ be the iterates as advised by MWU and define $\hat{x} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} x_i$ and $\hat{y} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} y_i$ and $T = \Theta(\frac{1}{\eta^2})$.

Choose any x, then from the no-regret property for x we get that

Theorem (Minimax by John von Neumann). Let A a matrix of size $n \times m$.

$$\min_{x \in \Delta_n} \max_{y \in \Delta_m} x^\top A y = \max_{y \in \Delta_m} \min_{x \in \Delta_n} x^\top A y$$

Proof. Let's use no-regret learning for both "players"!

Let $x_1, ..., x_T$ and $y_1, ..., y_T$ be the iterates as advised by MWU and define $\hat{x} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} x_i$ and $\hat{y} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} y_i$ and $T = \Theta(\frac{1}{\eta^2})$.

Choose any x, then from the no-regret property for x we get that

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} x_{t}^{\top} A y_{t} \leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} x^{\top} A y_{t} + \eta$$

Theorem (Minimax by John von Neumann). Let A a matrix of size $n \times m$.

$$\min_{x \in \Delta_n} \max_{y \in \Delta_m} x^\top A y = \max_{y \in \Delta_m} \min_{x \in \Delta_n} x^\top A y$$

Proof. Let's use no-regret learning for both "players"!

Let $x_1, ..., x_T$ and $y_1, ..., y_T$ be the iterates as advised by MWU and define $\hat{x} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} x_i$ and $\hat{y} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} y_i$ and $T = \Theta(\frac{1}{\eta^2})$.

Choose any x, then from the no-regret property for x we get that

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} x_{t}^{\top} A y_{t} \leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} x^{\top} A y_{t} + \eta$$
$$= x^{\top} A \left(\frac{\sum_{t} y_{t}}{T} \right) + \eta.$$

Proof cont.

Choose any y, then from the no-regret property for y we get that

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} x_{t}^{\top} A y_{t} \ge \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} x_{t}^{\top} A y - \eta$$
$$= \left(\frac{\sum_{t} x_{t}}{T}\right)^{\top} A y - \eta.$$

Proof cont.

Choose any y, then from the no-regret property for y we get that

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} x_{t}^{\top} A y_{t} \ge \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} x_{t}^{\top} A y - \eta$$
$$= \left(\frac{\sum x_{t}}{T}\right)^{\top} A y - \eta.$$

We conclude that for all x, y we have

$$\left(\frac{\sum x_t}{T}\right)^{\top} Ay - 2\eta \le \left(\frac{\sum_t y_t}{T}\right).$$

Proof cont.

Choose any y, then from the no-regret property for y we get that

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} x_{t}^{\top} A y_{t} \ge \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} x_{t}^{\top} A y - \eta$$
$$= \left(\frac{\sum x_{t}}{T}\right)^{\top} A y - \eta.$$

We conclude that for all x, y we have

$$\max_{y} \left(\frac{\sum x_{t}}{T} \right)^{\top} A y - 2\eta \leq \min_{x} x^{\top} A \left(\frac{\sum_{t} y_{t}}{T} \right).$$

Finally we get $\max_{y} \min_{x} x^{\top} A y \ge \min_{x} x^{\top} A \left(\frac{\sum_{y} y_{t}}{T} \right)$

Proof cont.

Choose any y, then from the no-regret property for y we get that

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} x_{t}^{\top} A y_{t} \ge \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} x_{t}^{\top} A y - \eta$$
$$= \left(\frac{\sum x_{t}}{T}\right)^{\top} A y - \eta.$$

We conclude that for all x, y we have

$$\max_{y} \left(\frac{\sum x_{t}}{T} \right)^{\top} A y - 2\eta \leq \min_{x} x^{\top} A \left(\frac{\sum_{t} y_{t}}{T} \right).$$

Finally we get
$$\max_{y} \min_{x} x^{\top} A y \ge \min_{x} x^{\top} A \left(\frac{\sum_{y} y_{t}}{T}\right)$$

$$\ge \max_{y} \left(\frac{\sum_{t} x_{t}}{T}\right)^{\top} A y - 2\eta$$

Proof cont.

Choose any y, then from the no-regret property for y we get that

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} x_{t}^{\top} A y_{t} \ge \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} x_{t}^{\top} A y - \eta$$
$$= \left(\frac{\sum x_{t}}{T}\right)^{\top} A y - \eta.$$

We conclude that for all x, y we have

$$\max_{y} \left(\frac{\sum x_{t}}{T} \right)^{\top} A y - 2\eta \leq \min_{x} x^{\top} A \left(\frac{\sum_{t} y_{t}}{T} \right).$$

Finally we get
$$\max_{y} \min_{x} x^{\top} A y \ge \min_{x} x^{\top} A \left(\frac{\sum_{y} y_{t}}{T}\right)$$

$$\ge \max_{y} \left(\frac{\sum_{t} x_{t}}{T}\right)^{\top} A y - 2\eta$$

$$\ge \min_{x} \max_{y} x^{\top} A y - 2\eta$$

Proof cont.

Choose an

Set $\eta \to 0$ and we are done!

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} x_{t}^{\top} A y_{t} \ge \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} x_{t}^{\top} A y - \eta$$
$$= \left(\frac{\sum x_{t}}{T}\right)^{\top} A y - \eta.$$

We conclude that for all x, y we have

$$\max_{y} \left(\frac{\sum x_{t}}{T} \right)^{\top} A y - 2\eta \leq \min_{x} x^{\top} A \left(\frac{\sum_{t} y_{t}}{T} \right).$$

Finally we get
$$\max_{y} \min_{x} x^{\top} A y \ge \min_{x} x^{\top} A \left(\frac{\sum_{y} y_{t}}{T}\right)$$

$$\ge \max_{y} \left(\frac{\sum_{t} x_{t}}{T}\right)^{\top} A y - 2\eta$$

$$\ge \min_{x} \max_{y} x^{\top} A y - 2\eta$$

Intro to AGT