Optimization for Machine Learning 50.579

Instructor: Ioannis Panageas Scribed by: Dan Jiang(1004712), Jacob Chen(1000308), Junhua Liu(1000378), Perry Lam(1000229)

Lecture 1. Convex Optimization and Gradient Descent.

1 Introduction

In machine learning tasks, especially for supervised learning, we always look for a function with some parameters $\theta \in \Theta$, that can minimize the distance between the real labels and prediction results, generated by the mentioned function. We call this "distance" as *Loss function*, or the *objective*.

Given n sample pairs of input data and labels (x_i, y_i) , where x_i is the input (e.g. voice signal, pixels, ...) and y_i is the true label of each input, (e.g. gender of people, type of fruit ...), we want to minimize the (average) distance between the predicted label $f(x_i, \theta)$ and the true label:

$$L(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l(f(x_i, \theta), y_i)$$
(1)

However, solving $min_{x\in\chi}L(\theta)$ in general is NP-hard(computational intractable). In this chapter, we restrict our objective to only *convex* functions for easier analysis, as they have strong theoretical guarantees and efficient optimization algorithms, and will be applying *Gradient Descent* to minimize the loss function.

2 Definitions

Let us first define some fundamental quantities to use later.

2.1 Convex Combination

 $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a convex combination of $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if:

$$z = \sum \lambda_i x_i, \ \lambda \ge for \ all \ i \ and \ \sum_i \lambda_i = 1$$
 (2)

2.2 Convex Set

 \mathcal{X} is a convex set if the *convex combination* of any two points in \mathcal{X} also belongs in \mathcal{X} . The following figure depicts the relationship.



Figure 1: Schematic Diagram of Convex vs Non-Convex Set

$\mathbf{2.3}$ **Convex Function**

A function f(x) is convex iff the domain dom(f) is a convex set and $\forall x, y \in dom(f), t \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(tx + (1 - t)y) \le tf(x) + (1 - t)f(y)$$
(3)

This is known as Jensen's Inequality. Graphically, any line that intersects the function at two points should be above the function, as the following figure shows:



Non-convex function

Figure 2: Convex vs. Non-Convex Function

Note: a concave function f will result in the reverse inequality. And f is called strictly convex when the inequality is < instead of \leq .

2.4**Conditions for Convexity**

Lemma 2.1 (First Order Condition (FOC)) A differentiable function f(x) is convex iff dom(f)is a convex set and $\forall x, y \in dom(f)$,

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \nabla f(x)^{\top} (y - x) \tag{4}$$



Figure 3: FOC for convexity: the tangent hyperplane at any point always gives values less than the function value of any other point.

Proof: If f is convex, then:

$$f(ty + (1-t)x) \le tf(y) + (1-t)f(x)$$
(5)

Rearranging and dividing by t:

$$f(x + t(y - x)) \le t(f(y) - f(x)) + f(x)$$

$$f(y) - f(x) \ge \frac{f(x + t(y - x)) - f(x)}{t}$$

Hence

$$f(y) - f(x) \ge \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x + t(y - x)) - f(x)}{t} = \nabla f(x)^{\top} (y - x)$$
(6)

Now we need to show the FOC implies convexity. Choose first z = tx + (1-t)y for $t \in (0,1)$, then

$$f(x) \ge f(z) + \nabla f(z)^{\top} (x - z) \tag{7}$$

$$f(y) \ge f(z) + \nabla f(z)^{\top} (y - z) \tag{8}$$

Multiply (7) by t and (8) by (1-t) and add them up, we have:

$$\begin{split} tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) &\geq f(z) + t\nabla f(z)^{\top}(x-z) + (1-t)\nabla f(z)^{\top}(y-z) \\ &= f(z) + \nabla f(z)^{\top}(tx-tz) + \nabla f(z)^{\top}((1-t)(y-z)) \\ &= f(z) + \nabla f(z)^{\top}(tx-tz+y-ty-z+tz) \\ &= f(z) + \nabla f(z)^{\top}(tx+(1-t)y-z) \\ &= f(z) + \nabla f(z)^{\top}(0) \\ &= tf(y) + (1-t)f(x) \end{split}$$

Lemma 2.2 (Second Order Condition) A twice-differentiable function f(x) is convex iff dom(f) is a convex set and $\forall x \in dom(f)$, the Hessian is positive semi-definite.

$$\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq 0 \tag{9}$$

Proof: By convexity we have:

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \nabla f(x)^{\top} (y - x) \tag{10}$$

$$f(x) \ge f(y) + \nabla f(y)^{\top} (x - y) \tag{11}$$

Rearrange the equations, we have

$$\nabla f(x)^{\top}(y-x) \le f(y) - f(x) \le \nabla f(y)^{\top}(y-x)$$
(12)

Dividing both side by $(y-x)^2$

$$\frac{\nabla f(y)^{\top} - \nabla f(x)^{\top}}{y - x} \ge 0 \tag{13}$$

2.5 Lipschitz Continuity

A function $f: \mathbb{R}^b \to \mathbb{R}^{d'}$ is L-Lipschitz continuous \iff for L > 0 and $\forall x, y \in dom(f)$ we have:

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\|_2 \le L \|x - y\|_2 \tag{14}$$

This means the function must stay outside a double cone of steepness L. Like usual definitions of continuity (pointwise or uniform), it doesn't allow jumps, but it is stricter than just continuous.



Figure 4: The function on the left is L-continuous but \sqrt{x} on the right is not L-continuous, the gradient becomes infinitely steep at 0.

2.6 Smoothness

A continuously differentiable function f(x) is *L*-smooth if its gradient is *L*-Lipschitz, i.e., there exists a L > 0 and $\forall x, y \in dom(f)$

$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|_2 \le L \|x - y\|_2 \tag{15}$$

The function |x| is L-continuous but not L-smooth, since $\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y) = 2$ when $x = 0^+$ and $y = 0^-$.

One important consequence of L-smoothness is this: there is a maximum bound on the difference between f(y) and the predicted f(y) if you drew a tangent line from x to y.

Claim 2.3 Let f be a differentiable and L-smooth, then:

$$f(y) - f(x) - \nabla f(x)^{\top} (y - x) \le \frac{L}{2} \|y - x\|_2^2$$
(16)

Proof: For a differentiable function f(x), the difference in the f-value of x, y is simply the sum of the small differences from x to y:

$$f(y) - f(x) = \int_{x}^{y} \nabla f(z) dz$$
(17)

$$set \ z = ty + (1-t)x \tag{18}$$

$$f(y) - f(x) - \nabla f(x)^{\top} (y - x) = \int_{x}^{y} \nabla f(z) dz - \nabla f(x)^{\top} (y - x)$$
(19)

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \nabla f^{\top}(x + t(y - x))(y - x)dt - \nabla f^{\top}(y - x)$$
(20)

$$= \int_0^1 \left[\nabla f^\top \left(x + t(y - x) - \nabla f^\top(x) \right) \right] (y - x) dt$$
 (21)

For two vectors, we know that $a \cdot b \leq ||a|| ||b||$

$$\leq \int_0^1 \left\| \nabla f^\top \left(x + t(y - x) - \nabla f^\top(x) \right) \right\| \|y - x\| dt \tag{22}$$

Now apply L-smooth definition

$$\leq \int_{0}^{1} L \|x + t(y - x) - x\| \|y - x\| dt$$
(23)

$$= \int_{0}^{1} tL \|y - x\| \|y - x\| dt$$
(24)

$$= \int_{0}^{1} t dt L \|y - x\|_{2}^{2}$$
(25)

$$= \frac{L}{2} \|y - x\|_2^2 \tag{26}$$

2.7 Strongly Convex

A function f(x) is μ -strongly convex if for $\alpha > 0$ and $\forall x \in dom(f)$:

$$f(x) - \frac{\mu}{2} ||x||_2^2 \text{ is convex}$$
 (27)

If a μ -strongly convex function g(x) is differentiable, then $\forall x, y \in dom(g)$, by applying the definition for convexity we have:

$$g(y) - g(x) \ge \nabla g(x)(y - x)$$

$$f(y) - \frac{\mu}{2} ||y||^2 \ge f(x) - \frac{\mu}{2} ||x||^2 + \nabla (f(x) - \frac{\mu}{2} ||x||^2)^\top (y - x)$$

$$f(y) - f(x) \ge \frac{\mu}{2} (||y||^2 - ||x||^2) + \nabla f(x)^\top (y - x) + \frac{\mu}{2} (-2x^\top y + 2||x||^2)$$

$$= \nabla f(x)^\top (y - x) + \frac{\mu}{2} (||y||^2 - 2x^\top y + ||x||^2)$$

$$= \nabla f(x)^\top (y - x) + \frac{\mu}{2} ||y - x||^2$$

Note that this is similar to the L-smooth claim before, but with the inequality reversed (i.e. there is a *lower* bound on the difference between f(y) and the predicted f(y). Hence strongly-convex functions are generally $O(x^2)$.

2.8 Minimizing Convex Functions

Lemma 2.4 (Gradient Zero) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable and convex. x^* is a minimizer iff $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$. Hence all minimizers give same f-value, i.e $f(x_1^*) = f(x_2^*)$.

If $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$, then from convexity:

$$f(y) \ge f(x^*) + \nabla f(x^*)^\top (y - x^*) = f(x^*)$$
(28)

For some small t > 0, let $y = x^* - t\nabla f(x^*) \in f$. By Taylor expansion of f(y),

$$f(y) = f(x^*) + \nabla f(x^*)^\top (y - x^*) + o(||y - x^*||^2)$$

= $f(x^*) - t ||\nabla f(x^*)||^2 + o(||t \nabla f(x^*)||^2)$ (29)

Small t means $-t \|\nabla f(x^*)\|^2$ dominates, and if $\nabla f(x^*) \neq 0$, $f(y) < f(x^*)$ (x^* isn't a minimizer anymore). Hence $\nabla f(x^*)$ must be 0.

3 Gradient Descent Algorithm

3.1 Gradient Descent (GD)

Now that we have defined the classes of objective functions to minimize, we use Gradient Descent[1] to optimize the function.

Definition 3.1 (Gradient Descent) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be our objection function and differentiable.

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t) \tag{30}$$

where α is the step-size or learning rate. Smaller α makes convergence slower, but larger α may make the algorithm oscillate.

We will show, given appropriate choices of α , that the GD estimate converges for the above classes of functions:

Class	α	Type of Convergence	Rate of Convergence
L-continuous	$\frac{\epsilon}{L^2}$	Average: $f\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum x_T\right) \to f(x^*)$	$O\left(\frac{L^2}{\epsilon^2}\right)$
L-smooth	$\frac{1}{L}$	Value: $f(x_T) \to f(x^*)$	$O\left(\frac{L}{\epsilon}\right)$
μ -strongly convex	$\frac{1}{L}$	Point: $x_T \to x^*$	$\frac{L}{\mu} \ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}$

Figure 5: Covergence for different classes of objective function

where $R = ||x_0 - x^*||_2$ is the distance between starting point and minimizer, L and μ are as defined previously, and $\epsilon = ||f(x_T) - f(x^*)||_2$ is the max allowed error.

3.1.1 Analysis of GD for L-continuous

Theorem 3.1 (Gradient Descent for L-continuous) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable, convex and L-Lipschitz. Let $R = ||x_0 - x^*||_2$ be the distance between the initial point x_0 and minimizer x^* . It holds for $T = \frac{R^2 L^2}{\epsilon^2}$ that

$$f\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}x_t\right) - f(x^*) \le \epsilon \tag{31}$$

with appropriate choosing $\alpha = \frac{\epsilon}{L^2}$. This L-Lipschitz GD gives a rate of $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ but we can optimize it further in the next part.

Proof: [for Theorem 3.1] It holds that from FOC for convexity functions we have

$$f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le \nabla f^{\top}(x_t)(x_t - x^*)$$
 (32)

then, by substituting $\nabla f^{\top}(x_t)$ with definition of GD, we get

$$f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{\alpha} (x_t - x_{t+1})^\top (x_t - x^*)$$
(33)

From the law of Cosines, i.e. For a triangular with sides \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{c} , we have

$$c^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2a^{\top}b \tag{34}$$

with $a = (x_t - x_{t+1}), b = (x_t - x^*), c = (x_{t+1} - x^*)$, then

$$f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 + \|x_t - x_{t+1}\|_2^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2 \right)$$
(35)

$$= \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2$$
(36)

Supposing that f is L - Lipschitz continuous, then $\forall x \in dom(f)$ exists

$$\|\nabla f(x)\|_2 \le L \tag{37}$$

therefore,

$$f(x_t) - f(x^*) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha L^2}{2}$$
(38)

Summing from 1 to T:

$$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{2\alpha T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2\right) + \frac{\alpha L^2}{2}$$
(39)

Taking the telescopic sum, the terms cancel, leaving the first and last:

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\alpha T} \left(\|x_1 - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha L^2}{2}$$
(40)

$$\leq \frac{R^2}{2\alpha T} + \frac{\alpha L^2}{2} = \epsilon \tag{41}$$

Finally, from Jensen's inequality it induces the [2]

$$f\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}x_t\right) - f(x^*) \le \epsilon \tag{42}$$

Note that this theorem does not imply the point-wise convergence like $f(x_T) \to f(x^*)$.

3.1.2 Analysis of GD for L-smooth

Theorem 3.2 (Gradient Descent for L-Smooth) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ differentiable, convex and L-Smooth. Let $R = ||x_1 - x^*||_2$ be the distance between the initial point x_1 and minimizer x^* . It holds for $T = \frac{LR^2}{\epsilon}$ that

$$f(x_{t+1}) - f(x^*) \le \epsilon \tag{43}$$

with appropriate choosing $\alpha = \frac{1}{L}$. This L-Smooth GD gives a rate of $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)$ but we can optimize it further in the next part.

Proof: [for Theorem 3.2] Let's first try to find an expression for on the *f*-value improvement with each iteration, $f(x_{t+1}) - f(x_t)$. We can sum it up later to obtain the total improvement we need. From L-smoothness (see Claim 2.3) we have:

$$f(x_{t+1}) - f(x_t) \leq \nabla f(x_t)^\top (x_{t+1} - x_t) + \frac{L}{2} \|x_{t+1} - x_t\|_2^2$$

$$= -\frac{1}{L} \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2 + \frac{L}{2} * \frac{1}{L^2} \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2$$

$$\|\nabla f(x_t)\|^2 \leq 2L(f(x_t) - f(x_{t+1}))$$

For simplicity, let us denote $\delta t = x_t - x^*$ and $\nabla_t = \nabla f(x_t)$. We already have some bound on $\|\nabla_t\|^2$ above, and we want to force it out. ∇_t appears in gradient descent: $x_{t+1} - x^* = x_t - x^* - \frac{1}{L}\nabla_t$. So we square both sides to get positive values and the $\|\nabla_t\|^2$:

$$\|x_{t+1} - x^*\|^2 = \|x_t - x^*\|^2 + \frac{1}{L^2} \|\nabla_t\|^2 - \frac{2}{L} (x_t - x^*)^\top \nabla_t$$

Because f is convex, $(x_t - x^*)^\top \nabla_t \ge \delta_t$

$$\|x_{t+1} - x^*\|^2 \le \|x_t - x^*\|^2 + \frac{1}{L^2} \|\nabla_t\|^2 - \frac{2}{L} \delta_t$$
$$\|x_{t+1} - x^*\|^2 - \|x_t - x^*\|^2 \le \frac{1}{L^2} \|\nabla_t\|^2 - \frac{2}{L} \delta_t$$

Summing from 1 to t, we can see that the left side reduces to the first and last terms.

$$||x_{t+1} - x^*||^2 - ||x_1 - x^*||^2 \le \frac{1}{L^2} \sum ||\nabla_t||^2 - \frac{2}{L} \sum \delta_t$$

Now $||x_{t+1} - x^*||^2$ must be ≥ 0 and $||x_1 - x^*||^2 = R^2$

$$-R^2 \le \frac{1}{L^2} \sum \|\nabla_t\|^2 - \frac{2}{L} \sum \delta_t$$

 δ_t is decreasing. Thus $\sum \delta_t \ge t \delta_t$

$$-R^2 \le \frac{1}{L^2} \sum \|\nabla_t\|^2 - \frac{2t}{L} \delta_t$$

Now we need to find a bound for $\sum \|\nabla_t\|^2$. Fortunately we did it earlier!

$$\sum \|\nabla t\|^2 \le \sum 2L(f(x_t) - f(x_{t+1}))$$

= 2L(f(x_1) - f(x_{t+1}))
 $\le 2L(f(x_1) - f(x_*))$ since $f(x^*) \le f(x_{t+1})$

From L-smoothness (Claim 2.3) we know

$$f(x_1) - f(x^*) - \nabla f(x^*)^\top (x_1 - x^*) \le \frac{L}{2} ||x_1 - x^*||^2$$
$$f(x_1) - f(x^*) - 0 \cdot (x_1 - x^*) \le \frac{L}{2} R^2$$

Hence,

$$\sum \|\nabla t\|^2 \le 2L\left(\frac{LR^2}{2}\right) = L^2 R^2$$

Now we sub this back into where we left off:

$$-R^{2} \leq \frac{1}{L^{2}} \sum \|\nabla_{t}\|^{2} - \frac{2t}{L} \delta_{t}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{L^{2}} (L^{2}R^{2}) - \frac{2t}{L} \delta_{t}$$
$$-2R^{2} \leq -\frac{2t}{L} \delta_{t}$$
$$\delta_{t} \leq \frac{LR^{2}}{t}$$

Thus the minimum number of steps T to reach $\delta_t \leq \epsilon$ is LR^2/ϵ .

Theorem 3.3 (Gradient Descent for L-smooth and μ -convex) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ differentiable, μ -convex and L-Smooth. Let $R = ||x_0 - x^*||_2$ be the distance between the initial point x_0 and minimizer x^* . It holds for $T = \frac{2L}{\mu} \ln(\frac{R}{\epsilon})$ that

$$\|x_T - x^*\|_2^2 \le \epsilon \tag{44}$$

with appropriate choosing $\alpha = \frac{1}{L}$. This μ -convex and L-smooth function GD gives a rate of $O\left(\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)$ **Proof:** [for Theorem 3.3] Consider the left side of the inequality, we have

$$\|x_T - x^*\|_2^2 = \|x_{T-1} - \frac{1}{L}\nabla f(x_{T-1}) - x^*\|_2^2$$
(45)

$$= \|x_{T-1} - x^*\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{L^2} \|\nabla f(x_{T-1})\|_2^2 - \frac{2}{L} \nabla f(x_{T-1})^\top (x_{T-1} - x^*))$$
(46)

since f is μ -strong convex and L-smooth, from 2.7 and 3.1.2

$$\frac{2}{L}\nabla f(x_{T-1})^{\top}(x^* - x_{T-1}) \le \frac{2}{L}(f(x^*) - f(x_{T-1})) - \frac{\mu}{L} \|x^* - x_{T-1}\|_2^2$$
(47)

$$\leq -\frac{1}{L^2} \|\nabla f(x_{T-1})\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu}{L} \|x^* - x_{T-1}\|_2^2 \tag{48}$$

then
$$||x_T - x^*||_2^2 \le (1 - \frac{\mu}{L}) ||x_{T-1} - x^*||_2^2$$
 (49)

$$\leq (1 - \frac{\mu}{L})^{\top} R^2 \leq e^{-\frac{\mu T}{L}} R^2 \tag{50}$$

substituting $T = \frac{2L}{\mu} \ln \frac{R}{\epsilon}$ we get

$$\|x_T - x^*\|_2^2 \le \epsilon \tag{51}$$

Note that in this theorem, it will convergence at last iteration.

3.2 Projected Gradient Descent

In previous settings, we focus on how to find solutions of the *unconstrained optimization problem*. However, in general machine learning problems we are likely to encounter some constrained problems. In this subsection, we discuss how to solve constrained optimization problem:

$$min_{x\in\mathcal{X}}f(x)$$

where f is a convex function and \mathcal{X} is a convex set. Consider that when we use gradient descent to update the x_t by step-size α , or $x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x)$, it is possible that x_{t+1} may not belong to the constraint, i.e. convex set \mathcal{X} . In this part, we introduce *Projected Gradient Descent* to deal with the issue.

Definition 3.2 (Projected Gradient Descent) The projection of a point y, onto a set \mathcal{X} is defined as the nearest point in the set to y.

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(y) = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{2} \|x - y\|_2^2$$

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d$ be differentiable function in some convex set \mathcal{X} . The algorithm below is called Projected Gradient Descent:

$$x_{t+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k - \alpha \nabla f(x_k)) \tag{52}$$

where the projection GD may not be that efficient and the minimizer x^* does not necessarily satisfy $\nabla f(x^*) = 0.$

In this part, we mainly analysis the Projected Gradient Descent for L-lipschitz

Theorem 3.4 (Projected Gradient Descent) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable, convex and L-Lipschitz. Let $R = ||x_0 - x^*||_2$ be the distance between the initial point x_0 and minimizer x^* . It holds for $T = \frac{R^2 L^2}{c^2}$ that

$$f\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}x_t\right) - f(x^*) \le \epsilon \tag{53}$$

with appropriate choosing $\alpha = \frac{\epsilon}{L^2}$. Same guarantees as in the unconstrained case.

Proof: [for Theorem 3.4] Set $y := x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t)$. It holds that from FOC for convexity functions we have

$$f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le \nabla f(x_t)^{\top} (x_t - x^*)$$
 (54)

then, by substituting $\nabla f(x_t)^{\top}$ with definition of GD, we get

$$f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{\alpha} (x_t - y_t)^\top (x_t - x^*)$$
 (55)

From the law of Cosines, i.e. For a triangular with sides \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{c} , we have

$$c^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2a^{\top}b \tag{56}$$

with $a = (x_t - x_{t+1}), b = (x_t - x^*), c = (x_{t+1} - x^*)$, then

$$f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 + \|x_t - y_t\|_2^2 - \|y_t - x^*\|_2^2 \right)$$
(57)

$$= \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 - \|y_t - x^*\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2$$
(58)

Recall that f is L - Lipschitz continuous, then $\forall x \in dom(f)$ exists

 $\|\nabla f(x)\|_2 \le L$

Therefore,

$$f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 - \|y_t - x^*\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha L^2}{2}$$
(59)

Stop here and introduce the Claim.

Claim 3.5 For projection of a point y, it holds that:

$$(\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(y) - x)^{\top} (\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(y) - y) \le 0$$

Proof: [for Claim 3] From the following figure



Figure 6: Projection of a point y on Convex Set

Since the projection property on the convex set, it is true that ||y - x|| must be the longest side of the triangular. Hence, from law of Cosines we have

$$||y - x||_2^2 \ge ||\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(y) - y||_2^2 + ||\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(y) - x||_2^2$$

Therefore $\cos \langle \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(y) - y, \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(y) - x \rangle < 0$. It is proved.

Then, continue to prove Theorem 3.4. From Claim 3 we have:

$$\|y_t - x^*\|_2^2 \ge \|x_{t+1} - y_t\|_2^2 + \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2$$
(60)

$$\geq \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2 \tag{61}$$

Note that x_{t+1} is in the Convex Set \mathcal{X} , since

$$f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha L^2}{2}$$
(62)

Taking the telescopic sum we have

$$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{2\alpha T} \left(\|x_1 - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha L^2}{2}$$
(63)

$$\leq \frac{R^2}{2\alpha T} + \frac{\alpha L^2}{2} = \epsilon \tag{64}$$

Finally, from Jensen's inequality it induces the

$$f\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}x_t\right) - f(x^*) \le \epsilon \tag{65}$$

It is the same as classic Gradient Descent.

References

- [1] Sebastian Ruder. An overview of gradient descent optimization algorithms. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.04747, 2016.
- [2] Daniel Carlton Smith. BFunctional Gradient Descent. http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~16831-f12/ notes/F12/16831_lecture21_danielsm.pdf, 2013.